**Sindoro**
**CENDIKIA PENDIDIKAN**
ISSN: 3025-6488

Vol. 12 No 3 2025
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI: 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

# HUMAN LANGUAGE VS ANIMAL COMMUNICATION : CONSTRUCTION, INTEGRATION THEORY DESIGN FEATURE OF LANGUAGE

**Deswita Fitriani Samosir[1], Bernieke Anggita Ristia Damanik[2]**
Universitas HKBP Nommensen Pematangsiantar, Indonesia
E-mail: deswitasamosir@gmail.com[1], bernieke.damanik@uhn.ac.id[2]

**Abstract**

This study aims to compare and contrast human language with animal communication, focusing on their construction, integration, and design features based on language theories. While human language is complex, flexible, and capable of abstract thought, animal communication systems are often considered more limited, relying on instinctual signals. By examining key theoretical frameworks, including Charles Hockett's "Design Features of Language." this research analyzes both human and animal communication systems to explore their similarities and differences. A qualitative research method was used, involving a comprehensive literature review and comparative analysis of human language and animal communication examples. The findings reveal that human language has distinct features such as productivity, displacement, and recursion, which are absent in most animal communication systems. However, some animal species demonstrate rudimentary forms of syntax and symbolism. The study concludes by emphasizing the unique qualities of human language and suggesting areas for further research on the cognitive abilities of animals.

**Keyword**: Human Language, Animal Communication, Language Design Features, Integration Theory, Syntax, Charles Hockett

**Article History**
Received: Januari 2025
Reviewed: Januari 2025
Published: Januari 2025
Plagirism Checker No 234
Prefix DOI: Prefix DOI: 10.8734/ SINDORO.v3i9.252
**Copyright: Author**
**Publish by: SINDORO**



ISSN 3025-6488

## INTRODUCTION

### A. Background

Human language is often regarded as the most complex and sophisticated communication system, characterized by a high degree of abstraction, flexibility, and creativity. In contrast, animal communication, while effective for survival purposes. is usually limited to more basic, biologically driven signals. Various theories have attempted to define the fundamental features of language, with Charles Hockett's "Design Features of Language" serving as one of the most prominent frameworks. Hockett proposed a set of characteristics that distinguish human language from other forms of communication, which include features like productivity, arbitrariness. and displacement. Understanding the similarities and differences between human and animal communication not only sheds light on the evolutionary development of language but also contributes to cognitive science by exploring the capabilities of animal species in non-verbal communication.

Vol. 12 No 3 2025
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI: 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

**B. Research Problem**

The primary research problem is to identify and compare the structural and functional differences between human language and animal communication systems. Despite significant advances in the study of both domains, the question remains: what are the distinct features that define human language, and to what extent can animal communication be said to share these features?

**C. Research Objective**

The objectives of this research are:

1. To compare human language with animal communication systems based on Hockett's Design Features of Language.
2. To analyze the construction and integration theories applied to both systems.
3. To explore how animal communication systems reflect or diverge from human language, focusing on structure, function, and cognitive implications.
4. To assess the relevance of language theories in understanding the communication abilities of non-human species.

## PREVIOUS WORK

Previous research has focused on the cognitive and biological aspects of communication in both humans and animals. Hockett's work on the design features of language (1960) remains foundational, categorizing linguistic attributes such as arbitrariness, displacement, and productivity. Studies have also explored animal communication through the lens of pragmatics, semiotics, and syntax, with findings from primates (e.g., chimpanzees using American Sign Language) and birds (e.g., songbirds' use of learned vocalizations) demonstrating limited parallels with human language. However, research remains inconclusive about the cognitive capabilities of animals to engage in complex, abstract communication comparable to human linguistic ability.

## RESEARCH METHOD

**A. Research Design**

This research employs a qualitative, comparative method, focusing on a detailed literature review and analysis of existing case studies of human language and animal communication. The design is exploratory, with an emphasis on theoretical frameworks from linguistics and cognitive science to evaluate the core features of communication systems in both humans and animals.

**B. Research Object**

The objects of study are human language and animal communication systems, including examples from species such as primates, dolphins, elephants, and birds. These species were selected based on their well-documented communication abilities and their potential to exhibit certain design features identified in human language.

*Sindoro*
*CENDIKIA PENDIDIKAN*
ISSN: 3025-6488

Vol. 12 No 3 2025
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI: 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

**C. Research Subject**

## DATA ANALYSIS

**1. Theoretical Framework: Hockett's Design Features**

Charles Hockett (1960) identified 13 features that distinguish human language, among which displacement, productivity, arbitrariness, and cultural transmission are unique to humans.

- Displacement: Talking about things not present.
- Productivity: Creating new messages.
- Duality of Patterning: Combining meaningless units into meaningful forms.
- Cultural Transmission: Learning language socially.

**2. Animal Communication Studies**

Research shows that animals can communicate effectively within their ecological contexts. For example:

- Vervet Monkeys: Use specific alarm calls for predators like eagles, snakes, or leopards.
- Dolphins: Use signature whistles to identify individuals.
- Honey Bees: Perform waggle dances to indicate food location.

**3. Construction of Communication Systems**

Human Language

- Discrete Units: Human language builds from phonemes and morphemes.
  Example: The English word "cat" has three phonemes (/k/, /æ/, /t/).
- Syntax and Grammar: Rules for arranging words allow infinite sentence formation.
  Example: "The cat chased the mouse" vs. "The mouse chased the cat."
- Abstract Semantics: Enables expression of intangible concepts like justice or love.

**4. Animal Communication**

- Fixed Signals: Signals are innate or learned within strict constraints.
  Example: The vervet monkey's alarm calls are fixed for each predator.
- Lack of Syntax: Most animal signals cannot be rearranged to alter meaning.
  Example: Honeybee dances encode distance and direction but lack variability in structure.

The data analysis will be based on thematic coding. comparing human language features le.g., syntax, productivity) with observed animal communication patterns. The results from the literature review will be categorized into design features and examined in relation to the cognitive capacities of humans and animals.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The results indicate that human language surpasses animal communication systems in terms of complexity. productivity, and symbolic representation. Animals, while capable of communicating complex messages through calls, gestures, or body language, do not exhibit the full range of language features as described by Hockett. For example, while certain animals like dolphins can use symbols to represent objects or actions, they lack the capacity for recursive

Vol. 12 No 3 2025
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI: 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

structures, which allows humans to create infinitely complex sentences. Moreover, the ability to engage in displacement (talking about something not present) and the use of abstract symbols are unique to human language.

However, studies of animal communication reveal some rudimentary features such as arbitrariness and duality of patterning, particularly in species like birds. who can learn new calls or songs to communicate different messages. In some cases, primates have been taught basic sign language, which demonstrates limited productivity, though not to the extent found in human language.

**Theoretical Design Features**

The following design features highlight the distinctions:

| Feature | Human Language | Animal Communication |
|---|---|---|
| Displacement | Discusses past, future, or hypothetical events. | Infinite combinations of words and mr ngs. |
| Productivity | Infinite combinations of words and mr ngs. | Fixed repertoire of signals. |
| Arbitrariness | Words are symbols with no inherent link to their meaning. | Signals often directly relate to their function. |
| Cultural Transmission | Language evolves and is learned socially. | Limited cultural variability; often innate signals. |
| Duality of Patterning | Combines meaningless units into meaningful str "ures. | Limited cultural variability; often innate signals. |

## CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

### A. Conclusion:

The study concludes that while animal communication shares some superficial similarities with human language, it is fundamentally different in structure and cognitive capability. Human language, as a symbolic, rule-governed system, remains unmatched in its complexity and versatility. Further research is needed to explore the cognitive processes underlying animal communication and to better understand the evolutionary development of language. Future studies could also investigate how animals might use more sophisticated communication methods under different environmental or social conditions.

### B. Suggestion:

Human language differs fundamentally in its ability to integrate abstract and complex meanings, facilitated by grammar and syntax. The lack of displacement and productivity in animal communication restricts its adaptability. The evolution of human language is tied to advanced cognitive processes, social structures, and cultural learning.

Vol. 12 No 3 2025
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI: 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

Animal communication systems are efficient within their ecological niches but remain limited to specific contexts. For instance, while honeybee dances are impressive in conveying spatial data, they cannot express temporal or abstract concepts.

**BIBLIOGRAPHY**

Hockett, C. F. (1960). The origin of speech. Scientific American, 203(3), 88-96. https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=2336195 https://www.scirp.org/journal/home?journalid=475

Savage-Rumbaugh, S. (1986). Language learning în apes. In M. S. G. H. Botting (Ed.), Language and intelligence in monkeys and apes. Cambridge University Press. https://www.researchgate.net/publication/287566759_Toward_granting_linguistic_competence_to_apes_A_review_of_Savage-Rumbaugh_et_al%27s_Language_Comprehension_in_Ape_and_Child1

Seyfarth, R. M., & Cheney, D. 1. (2010). Primate communication and the origins of language. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 14(9), 388-395. https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/15925802/

Hauser, M. D., Chomsky, N., & Fitch, W. T. (2002). The faculty of language: What is it, who has it, and how did it evolve? Science. 298(5602), 1569-1579. https://www.scirp.org/reference/referencespapers?referenceid=1443888 https://www.scirp.org/journal/home?journalid=737

Tomasello, M. (2008). The origin of human communication. MIT Press. https://mitpress.mit.edu/9780262515207/origins-of-human-communication/ https://www.researchgate.net/publication/228006739_Origins_of_Human_Communication_-_by_Michael_Tomasello