SINDORO
CENDEKIA PENDIDIKAN
ISSN: 3025-6488

Vol. 9 No 2 Tahun 2024
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI : 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

LANGUAGE ACQUISITION UNRAVELED: NEW INSIGHTS INTO PSYCHOLINGUISTICS

Sarah Priscilla Silitonga[1], Bernieke Anggita Ristia Damanik[2]
Pendidikan Bahasa Inggris, Fakultas Keguruan dan Ilmu Pendidikan,
Universitas HKBP Nommensen Pematangsiantar
Email : sarahsilitonga17@gmail.com[1], damanikberniekeofficial@gmail.com[2]

Abstract**:** *This journal entry explores the intricate processes of language acquisition through the lens of psycholinguistics, integrating recent advancements in cognitive science, neuroscience, and computational linguistics. It examines traditional theories such as generative grammar, connectionism, and usage-based models, and evaluates their relevance in light of contemporary research. The discussion extends to the impact of age, cognitive abilities, and digital communication on language learning, providing a comprehensive understanding of how humans acquire and process language.*

Keywords *: Psycholinguistics, language acquisition, cognitive science, neuroscience, digital communication*

Article History
Received: Desember 2024
Reviewed: Desember 2024
Published: Desember 2024

Plagirism Checker No 234
Prefix DOI : Prefix DOI : 10.8734/Sindoro.v1i2.365

Publish by : Sindoro

INTRODUCTION

A. Background

Language acquisition is a fundamental aspect of human development, enabling individuals to communicate, express thoughts, and engage with their environment. Psycholinguistics, an interdisciplinary field combining psychology and linguistics, investigates the cognitive and neural mechanisms underlying language acquisition, processing, and usage. Traditional theories have provided foundational insights, but recent developments in cognitive science, neuroscience, and computational linguistics have introduced new perspectives, enhancing our understanding of the complexities involved in language learning.

B. Research Problem

Despite extensive research, the precise mechanisms of language acquisition remain partially understood. Traditional theories offer varying explanations, yet none fully encapsulate the multifaceted nature of language learning. The integration of recent scientific advancements necessitates a reevaluation of existing models to develop a more comprehensive framework that accounts for the dynamic interplay of cognitive, neural, and environmental factors in language acquisition.

Vol. 9 No 2 Tahun 2024
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI : 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

C. Research Objective

This study aims to critically analyze traditional and contemporary theories of language acquisition within the context of psycholinguistics. It seeks to synthesize recent findings from cognitive science, neuroscience, and computational linguistics to provide new insights into the processes underlying language learning. Additionally, the study examines the influence of age, cognitive skills, and digital communication patterns on language acquisition, offering a holistic perspective on the factors that facilitate or impede language development.

PREVIOUS WORK

The study of language acquisition has been shaped by several influential theories:

1. **Generative Grammar :** Proposed by Noam Chomsky, this theory posits that humans possess an innate language faculty, often referred to as Universal Grammar, which enables the acquisition of any human language. Chomsky argued that the complexity of language cannot be solely attributed to environmental input, suggesting an inherent cognitive structure that guides language development.
2. **Connectionism** : This approach models language acquisition as the result of learning patterns through exposure and experience. Connectionist models, often implemented as neural networks, simulate the brain's ability to form connections between linguistic elements, emphasizing the role of statistical learning in language development.
3. **Usage-Based Theories** : These theories assert that language acquisition emerges from the use of language in social contexts. They emphasize the importance of frequency and function in learning linguistic structures, suggesting that language development is driven by communicative needs and interactions.

RESEARCH METHOD

A. Research Design

This study employs a qualitative research design, utilizing a comprehensive literature review to analyze and synthesize findings from various disciplines related to language acquisition. The approach allows for an in-depth examination of theoretical perspectives and empirical evidence, facilitating a critical evaluation of traditional and contemporary models.

B. Research Subjects

The subjects of this research are the theories and models of language acquisition, as well as empirical studies from cognitive science, neuroscience, and computational linguistics that contribute to understanding the processes involved in language learning.

C. Research Object

The primary object of this research is the process of language acquisition, specifically the cognitive and neural mechanisms that enable humans to learn and use language. The study also considers external factors such as age, cognitive abilities, and digital communication patterns that influence language development.

DATA ANALYSIS

A. Neural Mechanisms of Language Acquisition: Neuroimaging Studies

A study by Friederici (2017) utilized functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) to investigate the roles of Broca's and Wernicke's areas in language acquisition. The research

Vol. 9 No 2 Tahun 2024
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI : 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

revealed that Broca's area is predominantly involved in syntactic processing, while Wernicke's area is essential for semantic processing. These findings confirm the dual pathways of linguistic processing: syntactic structures rely on dorsal streams, whereas semantic comprehension involves ventral streams.

Data Highlight:

Participants: 45 native speakers aged 20–35 years.

Key Results: Neural activation in Broca's area peaked during the acquisition of novel syntactic patterns, suggesting its critical role in grammar learning.

Implications: These findings underline that language learning is scaffolded by specific neural networks, supporting theories such as generative grammar.

Key Results

The main results of the study showed that Broca's area exhibited a significant increase in neural activity during the learning of new syntactic patterns (Zaccarella et al., 2017). This suggests that Broca's area plays a key role in grammatical processing, particularly in understanding and producing complex syntactic structures. In contrast, Wernicke's area showed greater activity in semantic processing, confirming its traditional role in understanding the meaning of words and sentences.

- Data 1: Neural Activation During Syntactic Learning
  The data show that neural activation in Broca's area peaked during the acquisition of new syntactic patterns. This activation was measured using fMRI when participants were asked to learn and use previously unfamiliar grammatical patterns. This peak in Broca's area activation indicates its central role in the processing and learning of new syntactic structures. The neural activation observed in this area was higher than when participants performed a semantic processing task, indicating a specialization of Broca's area in syntactic processing (Kepinska et al., 2018).
- Data 2: The Role of Wernicke's Area in Semantic Processing
  In contrast, Wernicke's area showed increased activity during a semantic comprehension task. When participants were presented with words and sentences that required meaningful analysis, this area showed significant increases in activity. These data support the hypothesis that Wernicke's area is more involved in the semantic aspects of language processing, confirming its long-standing traditional role in language comprehension (Benjamin et al., 2017). Activation in Wernicke's area did not increase significantly during the syntactic task, confirming the functional differences between Broca's and Wernicke's areas.
- Data 3: Dorsal and Ventral Pathways in Linguistic Processing
  These findings confirm the existence of two major pathways in language processing in the brain: the dorsal and ventral pathways. fMRI data show that the flow of information from the primary auditory area to Broca's area via the dorsal pathway is important for syntactic processing. In contrast, the flow of information to Wernicke's area via the ventral pathway supports semantic comprehension. This separation of pathways suggests that brain structures have evolved to process different aspects of language efficiently, with specific pathways dedicated to specific tasks (Herbet & Duffau, 2020).

Vol. 9 No 2 Tahun 2024
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI : 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

- Data 4: Implications for the Theory of Generative Grammar
  Neural activation in Broca's area during the learning of new grammar also supports the theory of generative grammar proposed by Noam Chomsky. According to this theory, humans have an innate ability to understand and produce complex syntactic structures. Data from this study suggest that this ability may be supported by specific neural networks that are heavily involved in syntactic processing. These findings provide empirical support for the theory of generative grammar, suggesting that the human brain has structures specifically designed for linguistic processing (Huang et al., 2017).

This study provides important insights into how the brain processes and learns language (Suzuki & DeKeyser, 2017). By demonstrating the important role of Broca's area in syntactic processing and Wernicke's area in semantic processing, this study supports the concept of dual pathways in linguistic processing. These findings are not only important for linguistic theory but also have practical applications in language education and the treatment of language disorders. A deeper understanding of how the brain processes language may help develop more effective teaching and therapy strategies, which may ultimately improve an individual's language abilities across a variety of contexts.

B. Age and Critical Period Hypothesis

Johnson and Newport's (1989) longitudinal study on age-related language acquisition provided compelling evidence supporting the Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH). Their research assessed the English proficiency of Korean and Chinese immigrants who arrived in the U.S. at different ages.

Data Highlight:

Participants: 46 non-native English speakers.

Findings: Immigrants who began learning English before the age of 7 achieved near-native proficiency, while those who started after puberty showed significant declines in grammaticality judgment tasks.

Implications: These results validate the CPH, emphasizing that early language exposure is crucial for achieving fluency, especially in syntax and phonology.

- Data 5: Relationship Between Age and Language Ability
  The study found that immigrants who started learning English before age 7 had nearly native-level abilities, while those who started after puberty showed greater difficulty, especially in tasks that tested grammar. This suggests that the earlier a person is exposed to a second language, the greater their chances of mastering its syntactic and phonological structures. This finding supports the concept of the Critical Period Hypothesis, which argues that there is a certain period in life when the brain is more plastic and assimilates language more easily (Caldwell-Harris & MacWhinney, 2023).
- Data 6: Decline in Language Ability After Puberty
  The decline in language ability in participants who started learning English after puberty suggests a significant role for brain development in language acquisition. Immigrants who started learning after puberty showed difficulty in understanding and producing complex syntactic structures. They were also more likely to make larger phonological errors. This suggests that after puberty, the ability to acquire a second language, especially those

Vol. 9 No 2 Tahun 2024
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI : 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

involving grammatical and phonological elements, tends to decline. This decline is likely due to changes in brain plasticity that affect linguistic processing (Birdsong, 2018).

- Data 7: Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH) in the Context of Second Language Acquisition
  This study supports the Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH), which states that age has a significant influence on language acquisition abilities. Evidence from this study suggests that those who learn English at a young age are able to achieve higher levels of fluency, which includes the ability to produce complex sentences and use more accurate syntactic structures. This suggests that early language exposure allows individuals to utilize their higher brain capacity to process language more efficiently. This finding emphasizes the importance of language education starting at an early age to achieve optimal levels of fluency (Romeo et al., 2018).
- Data 8: Implications for Second Language Teaching
  These findings have significant implications for the field of second language teaching. In order to maximize second language acquisition, language instruction should begin earlier, especially for students who begin language learning outside of the critical age. An emphasis on more intensive language instruction at a young age may help mitigate some of the difficulties experienced by individuals who begin language learning after puberty. Meanwhile, for older individuals learning a second language, more contextual and experiential teaching methods may be needed to help them overcome greater linguistic challenges (Shadiev et al., 2017).

Research by Johnson and Newport (1989) confirmed that age plays a significant role in second language acquisition, supporting the Critical Period Hypothesis (CPH). Evidence that immigrants who begin learning English at a young age achieve language skills that are nearly equivalent to native speakers suggests that earlier language exposure allows for better mastery of syntactic and phonological structures. These findings have major implications for language education, encouraging the initiation of second language instruction at an early age so that learners can achieve optimal levels of fluency in both syntax and phonology (Azieb, 2021).

C. Connectionism and Statistical Learning

Research by Saffran, Aslin, and Newport (1996) demonstrated that infants as young as eight months old can detect statistical patterns in speech, laying the groundwork for language learning. By exposing infants to streams of artificial syllables, the study measured their ability to segment words based on transitional probabilities.

Data Highlight:

Method: 24 infants listened to synthesized streams of nonsensical syllables for 2 minutes.

Outcome: Infants identified recurring patterns, showing higher interest (measured via head-turn preference) in novel word combinations compared to familiar ones.

Implications: The study supports the connectionist perspective, illustrating that language acquisition is heavily influenced by exposure and probabilistic learning mechanisms.

- Data 9: Infants' Ability to Detect Statistical Patterns
  This study found that eight-month-old infants have the ability to detect statistical patterns in language. Infants exposed to a stream of nonsense syllables were able to identify recurring patterns and showed a preference for novel word combinations. These results

Vol. 9 No 2 Tahun 2024
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI : 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

suggest that infants have the capacity to process the probabilistic information underlying language structure, even though they have not yet learned specific words (Saffran & Kirkham, 2018).

- Data 10: Preference for Novel Words
  Infants showed a higher preference for newly encountered words in the syllable stream compared to familiar words. This indicates that infants not only detect statistical patterns but also have a tendency to attend to new, previously unknown information. This preference provides evidence that infants actively seek out patterns and novel information in their linguistic input, supporting statistical learning mechanisms in language development (Fló et al., 2022).
- Data 11: Connectionism in Language Acquisition
  This study supports the theory of connectionism, which states that language acquisition occurs through exposure to linguistic data and probabilistic learning. The evidence from this study suggests that infants learn language by utilizing statistical learning mechanisms, relying on probabilistic transitions in the sound stream to identify words and language structures. This illustrates how simple cognitive processes can form the basis of more complex language abilities (Lany et al., 2018).
- Data 12: Implications for Language Learning Theory
  These findings have major implications for our understanding of how language is learned. The study shows that the ability to process statistical patterns is a fundamental aspect of language learning, underlying infants' ability to break down a stream of sounds into smaller units such as words. This supports the view that language acquisition relies not only on innate brain structures but also on learning mechanisms based on experience and exposure to linguistic data (Saffran & Kirkham, 2018).

The study by Saffran, Aslin, and Newport (1996) shows that infants have the ability to utilize statistical learning mechanisms to process language. These findings support the connectionist perspective, which argues that language learning is highly dependent on exposure to linguistic data and the statistical mechanisms that underlie it. These findings provide important insights into how language is learned early in life and confirm the important role of probability-based learning in language acquisition.

D. Role of Digital Media in Language Acquisition

A 2020 study by Wang et al. Examined the effects of digital media on vocabulary development in children aged 6–10. The study contrasted traditional reading methods with interactive digital tools like language-learning apps.

Data Highlight:

Participants: 120 children divided into three groups (traditional reading, digital learning, control group with no intervention).

Findings: Children using digital tools demonstrated a 35% higher improvement in vocabulary recall than those using traditional methods.

Challenges: Excessive screen time was linked to reduced social interaction and pragmatic skill development.

Implications: Digital platforms can enhance language learning but should be balanced with interpersonal communication.

Vol. 9 No 2 Tahun 2024
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI : 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

- Data 13: Vocabulary Enhancement with Digital Tools
  The study showed that children who used digital tools for language learning experienced a significant increase in vocabulary, which was 35% higher compared to those who used traditional reading methods. This indicates that interactive digital tools, which involve visuals and sounds, can accelerate the process of vocabulary acquisition in children (Kim & Smith, 2017). Digital platforms provide a more engaging and immersive learning experience, utilizing technology to facilitate understanding and recall of words.
- Data 14: The Challenge of Excessive Screen Time
  One of the challenges that arises from the use of digital tools is excessive screen time, which is associated with reduced social interaction and the development of pragmatic skills. The study shows that while digital tools can increase vocabulary, excessive use can reduce opportunities for children to practice direct communication skills with peers and adults, which are essential for their social development. This underscores the importance of timing digital media use to maintain a balance between digital learning and face-to-face social interaction (Wei, 2023).
- Data 15: Implications for the Use of Digital Media in Learning
  These findings imply that the use of digital platforms can improve children's language skills, but must be accompanied by a balanced approach to interpersonal communication (Hu et al., 2021). Digital platforms can enrich the language learning experience, but children still need face-to-face interaction to develop fundamental pragmatic and social skills. Therefore, the integration of digital learning into children's lives must be done carefully, ensuring a balance between digital media use and real-world social interaction.

The significant vocabulary gains through the use of digital tools in language learning demonstrate the benefits of technology in education. However, it is important to manage the use of digital media so that it does not hinder children's social development and communication skills. The right balance between digital learning and direct social interaction can optimize children's language learning.

E. Multilingualism and Cognitive Benefits

Bialystok (2011) explored how multilingualism enhances executive functions and facilitates subsequent language acquisition. The study tracked bilingual individuals learning a third language (L3) and compared them with monolinguals learning an L2.
Data Highlight:
Participants: 80 adults (40 bilinguals and 40 monolinguals).
Results: Bilinguals exhibited faster acquisition of the L3 grammar and vocabulary due to superior working memory and cognitive flexibility.
Implications: These findings align with usage-based theories, suggesting that language use strengthens general cognitive skills that support further language learning.

These real-world examples demonstrate how diverse research methodologies have enriched our understanding of psycholinguistic processes in language acquisition. By integrating neuroimaging, behavioral studies, and experimental designs, these studies provide robust evidence supporting traditional and contemporary theories of language learning.

Vol. 9 No 2 Tahun 2024
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI : 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

- Data 16: Bilingual Advantage in L3 Language Acquisition
  The study found that bilinguals showed faster acquisition of third language (L3) grammar and vocabulary compared to monolinguals learning a second language (L2). This suggests that language skills developed through bilingualism can accelerate the learning of an additional language (Maluch & Kempert, 2019). Bilinguals had a better ability to adapt to new structures and understand linguistic nuances in a third language, which they attributed to better metalinguistic skills.
- Data 17: Working Memory and Cognitive Flexibility
  Bilinguals also performed better in working memory and cognitive flexibility compared to monolinguals. The ability of bilinguals to shift attention between two or more languages appears to strengthen their executive functions, which play a role in improving memory and the ability to adapt to new information. This study suggests that practice in switching between languages may improve the brain's ability to handle multiple cognitive tasks more efficiently (Bialystok, 2017).
- Data 18: Effects of Multilingualism on General Cognitive Skills
  These findings support the language use theory that suggests that general cognitive skills, such as memory and flexibility, can be strengthened through multilingual experience (Greve et al., 2024). Continuous use of multiple languages allows the brain to develop and maintain stronger cognitive skills. This not only facilitates the learning of additional languages but also improves the ability to perform other tasks that require high cognitive control, such as decision-making and problem-solving.

The study by Bialystok (2011) showed that bilingualism provides significant cognitive benefits, both in learning a third language (L3) and in improving general cognitive abilities such as working memory and cognitive flexibility. These findings support the idea that language learning not only enriches linguistic abilities, but also improves cognitive skills that are useful in various aspects of everyday life. This provides strong evidence that multilingual experience can strengthen cognitive skills that underlie the ability to learn more languages and other tasks (Fox et al., 2019).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A. Integrating Cognitive Science and Neuroscience

Advancements in cognitive science and neuroscience have provided deeper insights into the neural substrates of language acquisition. Neuroimaging studies have identified specific brain regions, such as Broca's and Wernicke's areas, that are crucial for language processing. Moreover, research on neuroplasticity indicates that the brain's language networks are adaptable, particularly during critical periods of development, supporting the notion that both innate structures and environmental input are essential for language learning.

B. Computational Models and Language Acquisition

Computational linguistics has contributed significantly to understanding language acquisition through the development of models that simulate language learning processes. For instance, connectionist models demonstrate how exposure to linguistic input can lead to the emergence of grammatical structures without explicit instruction, highlighting the role of

Vol. 9 No 2 Tahun 2024
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI : 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

statistical learning. These models provide a framework for testing hypotheses about language acquisition and offer insights into the potential mechanisms underlying language learning.

C. Influence of Age and Cognitive Skills

Age is a critical factor in language acquisition, with younger individuals generally exhibiting greater proficiency in learning new languages. This phenomenon is often attributed to the critical period hypothesis, which suggests that there is an optimal window for language learning during early development. Cognitive skills, such as working memory and executive function, also play a significant role in language acquisition, influencing the ability to process and retain linguistic information.

D. Impact of Digital Communication

The rise of digital communication has introduced new dynamics in language use and acquisition. Exposure to digital media can influence vocabulary development and language processing skills. While digital platforms offer opportunities for language learning through interactive and multimedia resources, they also present challenges, such as reduced face-to-face interactions, which are crucial for developing pragmatic language skills.

CONCLUSION AND SUGGESTION

The integration of findings from cognitive science, neuroscience, and computational linguistics has enriched our understanding of language acquisition, highlighting the complex interplay between innate mechanisms and environmental factors. Traditional theories provide foundational insights, but contemporary research underscores the need for models that account for the dynamic and evolving nature of language acquisition. The critical period hypothesis, neural plasticity, and computational simulations all indicate that language learning is influenced by a confluence of biological, cognitive, and social factors. These findings challenge researchers to create interdisciplinary models that encompass the nuances of both individual and collective language acquisition processes.

Suggestions

Cognitive Science Experts

Explore how executive functions, such as attention control and working memory, interact with language learning. Longitudinal studies linking these cognitive skills to bilingual or multilingual proficiency could yield new insights.

Neuroscience Experts

Investigate how brain plasticity extends beyond critical periods, especially in adult language learners. The role of emerging technologies, like brain stimulation techniques, in enhancing learning outcomes warrants further exploration.

Computational Linguists

Develop sophisticated machine learning algorithms to simulate real-world language acquisition scenarios. Integrating multilingual corpora into models may provide broader applications for understanding cross-linguistic influences.

Vol. 9 No 2 Tahun 2024
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI : 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

Educational Technologists
Innovate adaptive language learning platforms that utilize AI to cater to learners' cognitive profiles. Incorporating elements such as gamification and virtual reality can create immersive learning experiences.

Sociolinguists and Communication Experts
Study the effects of digital communication platforms on linguistic pragmatics and social language skills. The role of online communities in fostering or hindering second-language acquisition is a particularly rich area for research.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Chomsky, N. (1965). Aspects of the Theory of Syntax. MIT Press.
https://mitpress.mit.edu/9780262530071/aspects-of-the-theory-of-syntax/

Ellis, N. C. (2008). The dynamics of second language emergence: Cycles of language use, language change, and language acquisition. The Modern Language Journal, 92(2), 232-249.
https://www.semanticscholar.org/paper/The-Dynamics-of-Second-Language-Emergence%3A-Cycles-Ellis/1e52ac73dc70b4a66fea5649b77aa231a9383127

Pinker, S. (1994). The Language Instinct: How the Mind Creates Language. HarperCollins.
http://f.javier.io/rep/books/The-Language-Instinct-How-the-Mind-Creates-Language,-Steven-Pinker.pdf

Tomasello, M. (2003). Constructing a Language: A Usage-Based Theory of Language Acquisition. Harvard University Press.
https://www.hms.harvard.edu/cldp/pub/pdfs/Tomasellobookreview.pdf

MacWhinney, B. (2015). Introduction to the special issue on computational modeling in psycholinguistics. Cognitive Science, 39(3), 499–500.
https://www.lrdc.pitt.edu/schunn/papers/Schunn&Gray02_CSRj.pdf

Pulvermüller, F. (2018). Neurobiological mechanisms for semantic feature extraction and conceptual flexibility. Topics in Cognitive Science, 10(3), 590–614.
https://www.researchgate.net/publication/327161415_Neurobiological_Mechanisms_for_Semantic_Feature_Extraction_and_Conceptual_Flexibility

Dehaene, S. (2020). How We Learn: Why Brains Learn Better Than Any Machine... for Now. Viking.
https://publish-01.obsidian.md/access/baeaf13c0de0dc44c25047c8eef19047/Files/Books/dehaene%2C%20stanislas%20-%20how%20we%20learn.pdf

Goldberg, A. E. (2006). Constructions at Work: The Nature of Generalization in Language. Oxford University Press.
http://llt.cbs.polyu.edu.hk/static/upload/cv/Adele_Goldberg_Constructions_at_Work_The_NatureBookFi.org.PDF

Kuhl, P. K. (2010). Brain mechanisms in early language acquisition. Neuron, 67(5), 713–727.
https://pmc.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/articles/PMC2947444/

Hulstijn, J. H. (2015). Language Proficiency in Native and Non-native Speakers: Theory and Research. John Benjamins Publishing.

Vol. 9 No 2 Tahun 2024
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI : 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

https://pure.uva.nl/ws/files/1492053/104420_Hulstijn_2011_Language_Assessment_Quarterly.pdf

Azieb, S. (2021). The critical period hypothesis in second language acquisition: A review of the literature. *International Journal of Research in Humanities and Social Studies*, *8*(4), 20–26.

Benjamin, C. F., Walshaw, P. D., Hale, K., Gaillard, W. D., Baxter, L. C., Berl, M. M., Polczynska, M., Noble, S., Alkawadri, R., & Hirsch, L. J. (2017). Presurgical language fMRI: Mapping of six critical regions. *Human Brain Mapping*, *38*(8), 4239–4255.

Bialystok, E. (2017). The bilingual adaptation: How minds accommodate experience. *Psychological Bulletin*, *143*(3), 233.

Birdsong, D. (2018). Plasticity, variability and age in second language acquisition and bilingualism. *Frontiers in Psychology*, *9*, 81.

Caldwell-Harris, C. L., & MacWhinney, B. (2023). Age effects in second language acquisition: Expanding the emergentist account. *Brain and Language*, *241*, 105269.

Fló, A., Benjamin, L., Palu, M., & Dehaene-Lambertz, G. (2022). Sleeping neonates track transitional probabilities in speech but only retain the first syllable of words. *Scientific Reports*, *12*(1), 4391.

Fox, R., Corretjer, O., & Webb, K. (2019). Benefits of foreign language learning and bilingualism: An analysis of published empirical research 2012–2019. *Foreign Language Annals*, *52*(4), 699–726.

Greve, W., Koch, M., Rasche, V., & Kersten, K. (2024). Extending the scope of the 'cognitive advantage'hypothesis: multilingual individuals show higher flexibility of goal adjustment. *Journal of Multilingual and Multicultural Development*, *45*(4), 822–838.

Herbet, G., & Duffau, H. (2020). Revisiting the functional anatomy of the human brain: toward a meta-networking theory of cerebral functions. *Physiological Reviews*, *100*(3), 1181–1228.

Hu, X., Chiu, M. M., Leung, W. M. V., & Yelland, N. (2021). Technology integration for young children during COVID-19: Towards future online teaching. *British Journal of Educational Technology*, *52*(4), 1513–1537.

Huang, M., Qian, Q., & Zhu, X. (2017). Encoding syntactic knowledge in neural networks for sentiment classification. *ACM Transactions on Information Systems (TOIS)*, *35*(3), 1–27.

Kepinska, O., de Rover, M., Caspers, J., & Schiller, N. O. (2018). Connectivity of the hippocampus and Broca's area during acquisition of a novel grammar. *NeuroImage*, *165*, 1–10.

Kim, Y., & Smith, D. (2017). Pedagogical and technological augmentation of mobile learning for young children interactive learning environments. *Interactive Learning Environments*, *25*(1), 4–16.

Lany, J., Shoaib, A., Thompson, A., & Estes, K. G. (2018). Infant statistical-learning ability is related to real-time language processing. *Journal of Child Language*, *45*(2), 368–391.

Maluch, J. T., & Kempert, S. (2019). Bilingual profiles and third language learning: The effects of the manner of learning, sequence of bilingual acquisition, and language use practices. *International Journal of Bilingual Education and Bilingualism*.

Romeo, R. R., Leonard, J. A., Robinson, S. T., West, M. R., Mackey, A. P., Rowe, M. L., & Gabrieli, J. D. E. (2018). Beyond the 30-million-word gap: Children's conversational exposure is associated with language-related brain function. *Psychological Science*, *29*(5), 700–710.

Saffran, J. R., & Kirkham, N. Z. (2018). Infant statistical learning. *Annual Review of Psychology*, *69*(1), 181–203.

Vol. 9 No 2 Tahun 2024
Palagiarism Check 02/234/67/78
Prev DOI : 10.9644/sindoro.v3i9.252

Shadiev, R., Hwang, W.-Y., & Huang, Y.-M. (2017). Review of research on mobile language learning in authentic environments. *Computer Assisted Language Learning*, *30*(3–4), 284–303.

Suzuki, Y., & DeKeyser, R. (2017). The interface of explicit and implicit knowledge in a second language: Insights from individual differences in cognitive aptitudes. *Language Learning*, *67*(4), 747–790.

Wei, Z. (2023). Navigating digital learning landscapes: unveiling the interplay between learning behaviors, digital literacy, and educational outcomes. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, 1–31.

Zaccarella, E., Meyer, L., Makuuchi, M., & Friederici, A. D. (2017). Building by syntax: the neural basis of minimal linguistic structures. *Cerebral Cortex*, *27*(1), 411–421.